

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,967	11/21/2003	Dong Won Kang	K-0556	8015
34610 7590 06/14/2007 KED & ASSOCIATES LLP		7 .	EXAMINER	
10/717,967 11/21/2003 Dong Won Kang	LEE, KYUNG S			
Chantilly, VA	20153-1200		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/717,967	KANG, DONG WON		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Richard K. Lee	2832		
The MAILING I Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe Failure to reply within the se	IGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period w et or extended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and attention of this communication, even if timely filed.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>13 M</u>	<u>arch 2007</u> .			
<u>'</u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
		nce except for formal matters, pro			
closed in accord	dance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
·	n is objected to by the Examine				
·— • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		epted or b) objected to by the	•		
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	-		
	-	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob caminer. Note the attached Office			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
a) All b) Son  1. Certified  2. Certified  3. Copies o  application	me * c) None of:  copies of the priority documents  copies of the priority documents  f the certified copies of the prior  on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	od (PTO 902)	4) 🔲 lata-i S	(PTO 412)		
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/717,967

Art Unit: 2832

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23 and 26-27 are, drawn to a control panel, classified in class 200, subclass 296.
- II. Claims 24-25 are, drawn to washing machines, classified in class 68, subclass140.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a washing machine may include only touch pads or push buttons (US Pub. 2005/0257577). The subcombination has separate utility such as a control dial of an audio device.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable

ophication/Control Number, 10/717,907

in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2832

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu and Th-F from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard K. Lee

Primary Exam

Art Unit 2832